

The Basic Space Model

Let x_{ij} be the i th individual's ($i=1, \dots, n$) reported position on the j th issue ($j = 1, \dots, m$) and let \mathbf{X}_0 be the n by m matrix of observed data where the “0” subscript indicates that elements are missing from the matrix -- not all individuals report their positions on all issues. Let ψ_{ik} be the i th individual's position on the k th ($k = 1, \dots, s$) basic dimension. The model estimated is:

$$\mathbf{X}_0 = [\Psi \mathbf{W}' + \mathbf{J}_n \underline{\mathbf{c}}']_0 + \mathbf{E}_0 \quad (1A)$$

where Ψ is the n by s matrix of coordinates of the individuals on the basic dimensions, \mathbf{W} is an m by s matrix of weights, $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ is a vector of constants of length m , \mathbf{J}_n is an n length vector of ones, and \mathbf{E}_0 is a n by m matrix of error terms. \mathbf{W} and $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ map the individuals from the basic space onto the issue dimensions.

Equation (1A) can be written as the product of partitioned matrices

$$\mathbf{X}_0 = [\Psi \mid \mathbf{J}_n \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{W}' \\ \underline{\mathbf{c}}' \end{bmatrix}]_0 + \mathbf{E}_0 \quad (1B)$$

where $[\Psi \mid \mathbf{J}_n]$ is a n by $s+1$ matrix and $[\mathbf{W} \mid \underline{\mathbf{c}}]$ is a m by $s+1$ matrix. If $n > m$ and there is no error or missing data, then the rank of \mathbf{X} is s and the rank of $\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{J}_n \underline{\mathbf{c}}'$ is less than or equal to s .

No Missing Data

To solve (1) when there is no missing data, set $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ equal to the column means of \mathbf{X} ; that is

$$\underline{\mathbf{c}}_j = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n x_{ij}}{n} = \bar{x}_j$$

and perform a singular value decomposition of $\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{J}_n \mathbf{c}'$:

$$\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{J}_n \mathbf{c}' = \mathbf{U} \Lambda \mathbf{V}' = \Psi \mathbf{W}'$$

where \mathbf{U} is an n by m matrix, Λ is a m by m matrix, and \mathbf{V} is a m by m matrix.

A simple solution for Ψ and \mathbf{W} is

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi &= \mathbf{U} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \mathbf{W} &= \mathbf{V} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}\end{aligned}\tag{2}$$

where the diagonal elements of $\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ are the square roots of Λ . Let \mathbf{I}_m be the m by m identity matrix. Equation (2) implies that $\Psi' \Psi = \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{W}$. That is:

$$\Psi' \Psi = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{U}' \mathbf{U} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{I}_m \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda$$

and

$$\mathbf{W}' \mathbf{W} = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{V}' \mathbf{V} \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} \mathbf{I}_m \Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}} = \Lambda$$

In addition, by construction, $\mathbf{J}_n' [\mathbf{X} - \mathbf{J}_n \mathbf{c}'] = \mathbf{0}'$, so that $\mathbf{J}_n' \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{J}_n' \Psi = \mathbf{0}'$, where $\mathbf{0}$ is a m length vector of zeros.

When an $s < m$ is preferred, the Eckart-Young Theorem may be used in (2) to arrive at solutions for Ψ and \mathbf{W} . That is, the $s + 1$ to m singular values are set equal to zero so that Ψ and \mathbf{W} from (2) are n by s and m by s matrices respectively.

Missing Data

Because of the presence of missing data, SVD and the Eckart-Young Theorem cannot be used directly. Instead, I work with the loss function

$$\xi = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left\{ \left[\sum_{k=1}^s \Psi_{ik} \mathbf{w}_{jk} \right] + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{x}_{ij} \right\}^2 \tag{3}$$

The notation m_i means that the total of the summation over j may vary from $s + 1$ to m depending on how many entries there are in the i^{th} row of \mathbf{X}_0 . That is, each individual must report at least $s + 1$ issue positions in order to be identified. Furthermore, the number of missing entries in the columns of \mathbf{X}_0 must also be restricted. In most practical applications n will be much larger than m . Consequently, I will adopt the convention that there must be at least $2m$ entries in each column of \mathbf{X}_0 .

In line with the discussion above, the following two restrictions are applied to the loss function:

$$\Psi' \Psi = \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{W} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{J}_n' \Psi = \underline{0}'$$

These restrictions produce the Lagrangean multiplier problem

$$\mu = \xi + 2\gamma' [\Psi' \mathbf{J}_n] + \text{tr}[\Phi(\Psi' \Psi - \mathbf{W}' \mathbf{W})] \quad (4)$$

where γ is an s length vector of Lagrangean multipliers and Φ is a symmetric s by s matrix of Langrangean multipliers.

Given that the Lagrangean multipliers are all zero, the partial derivatives of Ψ , \mathbf{W} , and \mathbf{c} from equations (3) and (4) are identical. In particular:

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \Psi_{ik}} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} \left[\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^s \mathbf{w}_{j\ell} \Psi_{i\ell} \right) + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{x}_{ij} \right] \mathbf{w}_{jk} \quad (5A)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{w}_{jk}} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \left[\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^s \mathbf{w}_{j\ell} \Psi_{i\ell} \right) + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{x}_{ij} \right] \Psi_{ik} \quad (5B)$$

$$\frac{\partial \mu}{\partial \mathbf{c}_j} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n_j} \left[\left(\sum_{\ell=1}^s \mathbf{w}_{j\ell} \Psi_{i\ell} \right) + \mathbf{c}_j - \mathbf{x}_{ij} \right] \quad (5C)$$

where n_j means that the total of the summation over i may vary from $2m$ to n depending upon how many entries there are in the i^{th} column of \mathbf{X}_0 .

Setting (5A) to zero and collecting the s partial derivatives of the i th row of Ψ into a vector and dividing by 2 produces

$$[\mathbf{W}^* \mathbf{W}^*] \underline{\Psi}_i - \mathbf{W}^* [\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{oi} - \underline{\mathbf{c}}_o] = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$$

where \mathbf{W}^* is an m_i by s matrix with the appropriate rows corresponding to missing entries in \mathbf{X}_o removed, $\underline{\Psi}_i$ is the i th row of Ψ , $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{oi}$ is the i th row of \mathbf{X}_o and is of length m_i , $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_o$ is the m_i length vector of constants corresponding to the elements of $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{oi}$, and $\underline{\mathbf{0}}$ is an s length vector of zeroes.

If $\mathbf{W}^* \mathbf{W}^*$ is nonsingular, then

$$\hat{\underline{\Psi}}_i = (\mathbf{W}^* \mathbf{W}^*)^{-1} \mathbf{W}^* [\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{oi} - \underline{\mathbf{c}}_o] \quad (6)$$

and the rows of Ψ can be estimated through ordinary least squares.

The s partial derivatives of the j th row of \mathbf{W} from equation (5B) and the partial derivative for $\underline{\mathbf{c}}_j$ from (5C) can be collected into the vector

$$[\Psi_j^* \Psi_j^* \left[\frac{\underline{\mathbf{w}}_j}{\underline{\mathbf{c}}_j} \right]] - \Psi_j^* \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{oj} = \underline{\mathbf{0}}$$

where $\Psi_j^* = [\Psi_o | \mathbf{J}_o]$ is an n_j by $s + 1$ matrix (the matrix Ψ with the appropriate rows corresponding to missing data removed and then bordered by ones), $\underline{\mathbf{w}}_j$ is the s length vector of the j th row elements of \mathbf{W} , c_j is the j th element of $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$, $\underline{\mathbf{x}}_{oj}$ is the j th column of \mathbf{X}_o and is of length n_j , and $\underline{\mathbf{0}}$ is an $s+1$ length vector of zeroes.

If $\Psi_j^* \Psi_j^*$ is nonsingular, then

$$\left[\frac{\hat{\underline{\mathbf{w}}}_j}{\hat{\underline{\mathbf{c}}}_j} \right] = (\Psi_j^* \Psi_j^*)^{-1} \Psi_j^* \underline{\mathbf{x}}_{oj} \quad (7)$$

and the rows of \mathbf{W} and the elements of $\underline{\mathbf{c}}$ can be estimated through ordinary least squares.

The easiest way to estimate \mathbf{W} and Ψ is to select some suitable starting estimate of either matrix and then iterate between (6) and (7) until convergence is achieved. The constraints on \mathbf{W} and Ψ can be met at any stage of the iteration by simply setting the column means of $\hat{\Psi}$ equal to zero, forming the matrix product $\hat{\Psi}\hat{\mathbf{W}}'$, and performing the singular value decomposition:

$$\hat{\Psi}\hat{\mathbf{W}}' = \mathbf{U}\Lambda\mathbf{V}'$$

where Λ is an s by s diagonal matrix containing the s singular values in descending order, and \mathbf{U} and \mathbf{V} are n by s and s by s matrices respectively such that $\mathbf{U}'\mathbf{U} = \mathbf{V}'\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{I}_s$. Setting $\hat{\Psi} = \mathbf{U}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $\hat{\mathbf{W}} = \mathbf{V}\Lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$ as in (2) satisfies the constraints.

A simple way to proceed with the estimation is to exploit the orthogonality of Ψ and estimate one column of Ψ and \mathbf{W} at a time. This is motivated by the fact that if the n_j are close to n , $\Psi_j^{*''}\Psi_j^*$ in (7) will be very close to a diagonal matrix.

Table 1

Summary of the Estimation Procedure

- 1) Obtain starting estimates of $\underline{\hat{c}}$, denoted by $\underline{\hat{c}}^{(1)}$, using the column means of \mathbf{X}_0 . Obtain starting estimates of $\underline{\hat{w}}_1$, denoted by $\underline{\hat{w}}_1^{(1)}$, by finding the vector of plus and minus ones that maximizes the number of positive elements in the covariance matrix $[\mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{J}_n \underline{\hat{c}}']' [\mathbf{X}_0 - \mathbf{J}_n \underline{\hat{c}}']$ (see Appendix B).
- 2) Use $\underline{\hat{c}}^{(1)}$ and $\underline{\hat{w}}_1^{(1)}$ in equation (8) to obtain a starting estimate of $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1$, denoted by $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1^{(1)}$, and set the mean of $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1^{(1)}$ equal to zero.
- 3) Use $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1^{(1)}$ in equation (7) to obtain a second estimate of $\underline{\hat{c}}$ and $\underline{\hat{w}}_1$ -- $\underline{\hat{c}}^{(2)}$ and $\underline{\hat{w}}_1^{(2)}$ respectively.
- 4) Use $\underline{\hat{c}}^{(2)}$ and $\underline{\hat{w}}_1^{(2)}$ in equation (6) to obtain a second estimate of $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1$, $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1^{(2)}$. Set the mean of $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1^{(2)}$ equal to zero and set the sum of squares of $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1^{(2)}$ equal to the sum of squares of $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1^{(1)}$; that is $\sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\Psi}_{ii}^{(2)2} = \sum_{i=1}^n \hat{\Psi}_{ii}^{(1)2}$.
- 5) Repeat steps (3) and (4) until convergence.
- 6) Compute $\mathbf{E}_{01} = \mathbf{X}_0 \cdot \underline{\hat{\Psi}}_1 \underline{\hat{w}}_1' \cdot \mathbf{J}_n \underline{\hat{c}}'$.
- 7) Obtain starting estimates of $\underline{\hat{w}}_2$, $\underline{\hat{w}}_2^{(1)}$, by finding the vector of plus and minus ones that maximizes the number of positive elements in the covariance matrix $\mathbf{E}_{01}' \mathbf{E}_{01}$.
- 8) Use $\underline{\hat{w}}_2^{(1)}$ in equation (10) to obtain starting estimates of $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_2$, $\underline{\hat{\Psi}}_2^{(1)}$.

9) Use $\hat{\Psi}_2^{(1)}$ in equation (11) to obtain $\hat{\underline{w}}_2^{(2)}$.

10) Use $\hat{\underline{w}}_2^{(2)}$ in equation (12) to obtain $\hat{\Psi}_2^{(2)}$. Set the mean of $\hat{\Psi}_2^{(2)}$ equal to zero and set the sum of squares of $\hat{\Psi}_2^{(2)}$ equal to the sum of squares of $\hat{\Psi}_2^{(1)}$ as in step (4) above.

11) Repeat steps (9) and (10) until convergence.

12) Compute $\mathbf{E}_{02} = \mathbf{X}_0 \cdot \hat{\Psi}_1 \hat{\underline{w}}_1' - \mathbf{J}_n \hat{\underline{c}}' - \hat{\Psi}_2 \hat{\underline{w}}_2' = \mathbf{E}_{01} \cdot \hat{\Psi}_2 \hat{\underline{w}}_2'$.

13) Repeat steps (7) - (12) to estimate remaining dimensions; that is: $\hat{\underline{w}}_3$ and $\hat{\Psi}_3$, $\hat{\underline{w}}_4$ and $\hat{\Psi}_4$, ..., and $\hat{\underline{w}}_s$ and $\hat{\Psi}_s$.

14) Use the full n by s matrix $\hat{\Psi}$ in equation (7) to obtain the full m by s matrix $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$ and the m length vector of constants $\hat{\underline{c}}$.

15) Use $\hat{\mathbf{W}}$ and $\hat{\underline{c}}$ in equation (6) to obtain a new estimate of $\hat{\Psi}$.

16) Repeat steps (14) and (15) until convergence.